

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,266	07/18/2005	Nicholas Fekete	FEKETE ET AL1	7693
²⁵⁸⁸⁹ WILLIAM CO	7590 11/14/2007 VLLARD		EXAMINER	
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
	NORTHERN BOULEVARD YN, NY 11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,					
£	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,266	FEKETE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27					
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		• •			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u> is	s/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I		received			
	ist of the defined copies not i	Cocived.			
Attachment(s)	" 	(070.440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/27/04;7/18/05.		formal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "common opening...which extends from the housing shoulder (5) to the contact surface (6)" recited in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,266 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The entirety of claim 6 can be found in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites "common opening...which extends from the housing shoulder (5) to the contact surface (6)" in lines 10-12. The disclosure, as originally filed, fails to disclose that the common opening o the housing shoulder and the piezo-element extends to the contact surface (6).

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,266

Art Unit: 3752

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claims 2-6 is inconsistent with that of their parent claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the setting path x" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the displacement charge Q" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the displacement current" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the intermediate values" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the setting path x" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "piezo-element" in lines 4-5. It appears to be a double inclusion of the "piezo-element" recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/519,266 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruehle et al. (DE 199 54 537 A1).

Ruehle discloses an injection nozzle having:

a common opening 6:

```
a nozzle needle 5;
a nozzle opening 36;
a spring 21;
a housing shoulder 11;
a contact surface 23 of the nozzle needle 5;
a piezo-element 3;
```

Regarding claims 3-5, the recitation "can be" merely requires the ability to perform. It does not constitute a positively recited limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruehle et al. (DE 199 54 537 A1).

Art Unit: 3752

Ruehle discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of how the piezo-element is electrically connected. It is inherent that Ruechle's piezo-element has a first and second electrical connectors. There are only a limited number of possibilities of how the connections are made. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have connected the first and second connectors to the first and second faces of the piezo-element in the device of Ruechle for ease in wiring.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK